## Case 1:17-cr-00047-DLC Document 118 Filed 05/19/17 Page 1 of 31

```
H53Wthi1
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                              17 Cr. 47 (DC)
                 V.
5
     MAHMOUD THIAM,
6
                    Defendant.
                                              Trial
 7
            -----x
 8
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              May 3, 2017
9
                                              9:55 a.m.
10
      Before:
11
                             HON. DENISE COTE,
12
                                              District Judge,
13
                                                and a Jury
14
                                APPEARANCES
      JOON H. KIM
15
          Acting United States Attorney for
           the Southern District of New York
16
     BY: ELISHA J. KOBRE
17
          CHRISTOPHER J. DiMASE
          Assistant United States Attorneys
18
            -and-
     U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
19
     BY: LORINDA I. LARYEA
20
     LAW OFFICE OF AARON GOLDSMITH, PC
          Attorneys for Defendant
21
     BY: AARON M. GOLDSMITH, Esq.
          MICHAEL DELAKAS, Esq.
22
     ALSO PRESENT: Patrick Killeen, Special Agent, FBI
                     Alexander Beer, Paralegal Specialist, USAO
23
                     Katherine Bosley, Paralegal Specialist, DOJ
24
                     Jennie Carmona, Paralegal, LO of Aaron Goldsmith
25
```

l 1100WC1111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Trial resumed)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.

As Ms. Rojas told you at 9:30, we were missing a juror who indicated, through a call, that the juror would be 15 minutes late. We've now waited 20 minutes, or longer, whatever. Ms. Rojas inquired of counsel at that time whether they wished to wait for the juror; they've indicated yes.

Counsel, any objection to excusing the juror now and using one of our alternates?

MR. GOLDSMITH: Your Honor, if I may, Ms. Carmona just arrived, and she's told me that she saw the juror on line at security downstairs.

THE COURT: Our jurors are given cards to speed their processing through security, so we're going to inquire there.

MR. GOLDSMITH: Thank you.

THE COURT: The juror just arrived, 25 minutes late. We'll obviously wait for the juror to be prepared to enter with the remainder of the jurors.

Thank you, Ms. Carmona.

Counsel, did you gather together the exhibits that are ready to go in?

MR. KOBRE: We have, your Honor, and defense counsel had a chance to look at them, and we provided them to Ms. Rojas.

THE COURT: Good. And how about that redacted

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

indictment; everybody's looked at it?

MR. KOBRE: Everyone is satisfied.

MR. GOLDSMITH: Yes, your Honor. We confirmed the government prepared the exhibits last night. Mr. Delakas and I reviewed them this morning, as well as the redacted indictment, and that's been provided to Ms. Rojas.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Bring in the jury.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. going to complete the reading of the charge to you. you, I see, has picked up the copy that you left on your chairs. We'll just take a moment to turn to page 22, for those of you who are reading along, and that is the page regarding venue. OK?

Yesterday, I instructed you with respect to the elements of each of the two violations of United States law that are charged here. There's only one more portion of the violation of each of these United States crimes that the government must prove, and that is venue, on page 22. That's where we will pick up.

In addition to all of the elements that I have described for you, you must also decide for each count whether any part of the crimes took place at least in part in the Southern District of New York. The Southern District of New

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

York includes New York County -- that is, Manhattan -- the Bronx, Dutchess, and Westchester Counties.

Now, there are two types of evidence that you may properly use in reaching your verdict. One type of evidence is direct evidence. One kind of direct evidence is a witness's testimony about something he or she knows by virtue of his or her own senses -- something the witness has seen, felt, touched, or heard. Direct evidence may also be in the form of an exhibit.

The other type of evidence is circumstantial evidence. Circumstantial evidence is evidence that tends to prove one fact by proof of other facts. There's a simple example of circumstantial evidence that is often used in this courthouse.

Assume that when you came into the courthouse this morning the sun was shining and it was a nice day. Assume that the courtroom blinds are drawn and you cannot look outside. you are sitting here, someone walks in with an umbrella that is dripping wet. Somebody else then walks in with a raincoat that is also dripping wet.

Now, you cannot look outside the courtroom and you cannot see whether or not it is raining, based on this hypothetical, so you have no direct evidence of that fact. on the combination of facts that I have asked you to assume, it would be reasonable and logical for you to conclude that between the time you arrived at the courthouse and the time

these people walked in, it had started to rain.

That is all there is to circumstantial evidence. You infer on the basis of reason and experience and common sense from an established fact the existence or the nonexistence of some other fact.

Many facts, such as a person's state of mind, can only rarely be proved by direct evidence. Circumstantial evidence is of no less value than direct evidence. It is a general rule that the law makes no distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence, but simply requires that before convicting a defendant, you, the jury, must be satisfied of the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt from all the evidence in the case.

Now for the important subject of evaluating testimony. How do you evaluate the credibility or believability of the witnesses? The answer is you use your plain common sense.

Common sense is your greatest asset as a juror.

If you find that a witness is intentionally telling a falsehood, that is always a matter of importance that you should weigh carefully. If you find that any witness has lied under oath at this trial, you should view the testimony of such a witness cautiously and weigh it with great care. It is, however, for you to decide how much of a witness's testimony, if any, you wish to believe. Few people recall every detail of every event precisely the same way. A witness may be

of any witness.

inaccurate, contradictory, or even untruthful in some respects and yet entirely believable or truthful in other respects. It is for you to determine whether such inconsistencies are significant or inconsequential, and whether to accept or reject all or to accept some and reject the balance of the testimony

You are not required to accept testimony even though the testimony is uncontradicted and the witness's testimony is not challenged. You may decide because of the witness's bearing or demeanor, or because of the inherent improbability of the testimony, or for other reasons sufficient to yourselves that the testimony is not worthy of belief. On the other hand, you may find, because of a witness's bearing and demeanor and based upon your consideration of all the other evidence in the case, that the witness is truthful.

Thus, there is no magic formula by which you can evaluate testimony. Among the factors you may consider are the witness's intelligence; the ability and opportunity the witness had to see, hear, or know about the things that the witness testified about; the witness's memory; any interest, bias, or prejudice the witness may have; the manner of the witness while testifying; and the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence in the case.

The defendant has testified and was subject to cross-examination like any other witness. You should examine

: ||

and evaluate his testimony just as you would the testimony of any witness with an interest in the outcome of the case.

You have heard that defendant Mahmoud Thiam made certain statements to law enforcement authorities, which were videotaped. There is nothing illegal with the government's use of this videotaped interview. You may consider this evidence along with all the other evidence in the case and give the statements such weight as you feel they deserve in light of all the evidence.

You have heard testimony that the defendant made certain statements to law enforcement authorities and bank employees in which the defendant claimed that his conduct was consistent with innocence and not with guilt. The government claims that these statements in which he exonerated or exculpated himself are false.

False exculpatory statements are circumstantial evidence of a defendant's consciousness of guilt and have independent probative value. If you find that the defendant gave a false statement in order to divert suspicion from himself, you may, but are not required to, infer that the defendant believed that he was guilty. You may not, however, infer on this basis alone that the defendant is, in fact, guilty of the crimes with which he is charged. Whether or not the evidence as to the defendant's statements shows that the defendant believed he was guilty, and the significance, if any,

to be attached to any such evidence, are matters for you, the jury, to decide.

You have heard testimony of law enforcement officers. The fact that a witness is employed by the government does not mean that the witness's testimony is necessarily deserving of more or less consideration or greater or lesser weight than that of any other witness. It is your decision, after reviewing all the evidence, whether to accept or reject the testimony of the witness and to give to that testimony whatever

weight you find it deserves.

Some of the witnesses speak French and testified through an interpreter. The evidence you are to consider is only that provided through the official court interpreter.

Even if some of you might know French, it is important that all jurors consider the same evidence. Therefore, when a witness has spoken in French, you must base your decisions solely on the testimony presented through the interpreter. Similarly, where translations of documents have been provided to you, you must accept these translations as accurate unless a witness has offered testimony giving an alternate translation. If there is a dispute regarding the translation, you must rely on the factual record in this case to resolve the dispute, and not on any personal knowledge you may have of French.

You have heard reference by defense counsel to the fact that certain investigative techniques were not used by law

enforcement. There is no legal requirement, however, that the government prove its case through any particular means. Law enforcement officers have no duty to employ in the course of an investigation all of the many tools at their disposal, and the failure to use any particular technique or techniques does not tend, in and of itself, to show that a defendant is not guilty of a crime with which he is charged. Your concern is whether or not, on the evidence or lack of evidence, a defendant's guilt has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

Certain exhibits have been redacted to remove information that is irrelevant to the issues that you must decide at this trial. You must not speculate regarding the contents or subject matter of the removed information. Your job is to decide the issues of fact based solely on the evidence received at trial, or the lack of evidence.

Stipulations have been entered into relating to various facts in the case. A stipulation is an agreement between the parties as to what certain facts were or what the testimony would be if certain people testified before you. The stipulations are the same for your purposes as a presentation of live testimony. You should consider the weight to be given such evidence just as you would any other evidence.

Various charts and summaries were shown to you in order to make the evidence more meaningful and to aid you in considering the evidence. They are no better than the

testimony or the documents on which they are based. Therefore, you are to give no greater consideration to these charts or summaries than you would give to the evidence upon which they are based. It is for you to decide whether the charts or summaries correctly present the information on which they are based.

You may not draw any inference, favorable or unfavorable, towards the government or the defendant from the fact that any person other than the defendant is not on trial here. You may also not speculate as to the reasons why other persons are not on trial. These matters are wholly outside your concern and have no bearing on your function as jurors.

You are about to begin your deliberations. Many of the exhibits will be sent to you in the jury room. If you want any of the remaining exhibits or any of the testimony during your deliberations, that can be arranged. Please appreciate that it is not always easy to locate any testimony that you might want, so be as specific as you possibly can. Any communication with the Court should be made in writing, signed by your foreperson, and given to one of the marshals.

For those of you who took notes during the course of the trial, you should not show your notes to or discuss your notes with any other juror during your deliberations. Any notes you have taken are to be used solely to assist you. The fact that a particular juror has taken notes entitles that

juror's views to no greater weight than those of any other juror. Finally, your notes are not to substitute for your recollection of the evidence in this case. If you have any doubt as to the testimony, you may request that the official trial transcript that has been made of these proceedings be read or otherwise provided to you.

The question of possible punishment of the defendant is of no concern to the jury and should not in any sense enter into or influence your deliberations.

Your verdict must be based solely on the evidence admitted at trial. You may not discuss this case with anyone except the jurors with whom you are deliberating when all of you are gathered together in the jury room. You may not do any independent research about any of the people, facts, or issues in this case, using the Internet or any other research tool.

Do not communicate with each other by telephone or computer during your deliberations. Moreover, you should not give anyone any information about your jury service on any social networking website. You should not update your "status" on any website to tell anyone that you are a juror on a trial, or to give any information about the trial at all during your deliberations.

I am also sending a copy of the indictment into the jury room. You are reminded, however, that the indictment is not evidence. It is merely an accusation and is not to be used

1- -

by you as any proof of the conduct charged.

The foreperson will also receive a verdict form on which to record your verdict. It lists the questions that you must resolve based on the instructions I have given. When the foreperson has completed the form, each of you must sign your name, and the form will be marked as a court exhibit.

The most important part of this case, members of the jury, is the part that you as jurors are now about to play as you deliberate on the issues of fact. It is for you, and you alone, to decide whether the government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the essential elements of the crimes with which the defendant is charged. If the government has succeeded, your verdict should be guilty; if it has failed, it should be not guilty.

I know you will try the issues that have been presented to you according to the oath you have taken as jurors. In that oath, you promised that you would well and truly try the issues joined in this case and a true verdict render. Your function is to weigh the evidence in the case and determine whether or not the defendant is guilty solely on the basis of such evidence.

As you deliberate, please listen to the opinions of your fellow jurors, and ask for an opportunity to express your own views. Every juror should be heard. No one juror should hold center stage in the jury room and no one juror should

control or monopolize the deliberations. If, after listening to your fellow jurors and if, after stating your own view, you become convinced that your view is wrong, do not hesitate because of stubbornness or pride to change your view. On the other hand, do not surrender your honest convictions and beliefs solely because of the opinions of your fellow jurors or because you are outnumbered. Your final vote must reflect your conscientious belief as to how the issues should be decided.

Your verdict must be unanimous. If at any time you are not in agreement, you are instructed that you are not to reveal the standing of the jurors, that is, the split of the vote, to anyone, including the Court, at any time during your deliberations. Finally, I say this not because I think it is necessary, but because it is the custom in this courthouse to say this: You should treat each other with courtesy and respect during your deliberations.

Your first task as a jury will be to choose your foreperson. The foreperson has no greater voice or authority than any other juror but is the person who will communicate with the Court when questions arise.

Ladies and gentlemen, all litigants stand equal in this room. All litigants stand equal before the bar of justice. All litigants stand equal before you. Your duty is to decide the issues before you fairly and impartially, and to see that justice is done.

Under your oath as jurors, you are not to be swayed by sympathy. You should be guided solely by the evidence presented during the trial and the law as I gave it to you, without regard to the consequences of your decision. You have been chosen to try the issues of fact and reach a verdict on the basis of the evidence or lack of evidence. If you let sympathy interfere with your clear thinking, there is a risk that you will not arrive at a just verdict. All parties are entitled to a fair trial. You must make a fair and impartial decision so that you will arrive at a just verdict.

Members of the jury, I ask your patience for a few moments longer. It is necessary for me to spend a few moments.

Members of the jury, I ask your patience for a few moments longer. It is necessary for me to spend a few moments with counsel and the reporter at the sidebar. Please remain patiently in the jury box without speaking to each other, and I will return to you in just a moment to submit the case to you.

Thank you.

Counsel.

(At sidebar)

THE COURT: Any objections or requests?

MR. GOLDSMITH: No.

MR. KOBRE: No.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(In open court)

THE COURT: Ms. Rojas, would you please swear in the

25 marshal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 (Marshal sworn)

THE COURT: At this time, Ms. Rodriguez and Mr. Kuhn, I am going to thank you for your service. Only 12 members of our jury can deliberate. You have seen how important it was to have alternates; some of your fellow alternates are now sitting as deliberating jurors.

I am going to make a request of you that you not discuss this case with anyone until you hear that the jury has reached a verdict in this case. You can call Ms. Rojas to learn when that will occur. I am going to ask you to leave your jury charges on the chairs and return to the jury room now to get your belongings, and when the marshal tells me that you have left the jury room, then I will send the remaining jurors to the jury room for their deliberations.

Again, thank you very much for your jury service.

(Alternate jurors excused)

THE COURT: Mr. Pichardo, I'm going to have my law clerk provide the special verdict form to you. If you are chosen as the foreperson, that is the form that you must fill out and all jurors must sign. If someone else is chosen as the foreperson, please give that form to that person.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to send you in to the jury room in just a moment. Remember, you cannot deliberate unless all 12 of you are gathered together in the jury room.

We expect that you will follow the same schedule we have been following throughout the trial. If you do not have a verdict before lunch, we will take a lunch break from 12:45 to two. Ms. Rojas will make arrangements for you to be able to order your lunch. It is up to you whether or not you want to deliberate while eating your lunch together, or talk about other things. Again, that is a joint decision for you to make.

If we do not hear from you during the afternoon, I will bring you in shortly before five, give you instructions for the evening, and we will expect that your deliberations will end at five. Again, if you have a joint decision to follow some other schedule, you can send me a note, through your foreperson, with your request. Obviously I need to let counsel have a lunch break, so that is why we are going to stick with the same schedule that we have been following throughout the trial.

I understand that the jury room is now ready for you. You may take the copy of the jury charge, and now is the time to discuss the case with each other.

(At 10:20 a.m., the jury retired to deliberate)

THE COURT: Counsel, I want you to work with Ms. Rojas to identify the copy of the indictment that should be sent in so we don't make a mistake in this regard.

MS. LARYEA: We have it.

THE COURT: OK. I think I have the copy. I'm giving

it to Ms. Rojas now, but I'll ask counsel to just review it quickly with her.

Counsel, let me talk about what procedure we will follow during the jury's deliberations. I'm afraid there's only one of you, Mr. Goldsmith. You're going to have to stay in the courtroom. And government counsel, you can choose who you want to stay, but one of you has to stay here so we can respond promptly to any jury note. And if you have to leave the courtroom, just to go briefly to some other place on the floor, make sure someone knows that so we know where to get you. Otherwise, you have to stay on this floor in this room.

As you heard, I'm going to give you a lunch break from 12:45 to two, so other than that you'll be here.

Let's mark the jury charge as a court exhibit.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Court Exhibit 4.

THE COURT: Before we have a verdict, let me just say a few things. I want to say this was a very well-tried case.

Mr. Thiam, I don't know what the jury will do, but you were very well represented at trial. Mr. Goldsmith obviously made enormous effort to get his hands around the evidentiary record and to prepare a strategy and approach for trial and was very well prepared to examine the various witnesses.

And I want to thank counsel for their cooperation with each other. Obviously there were a lot of stipulations, which were entirely appropriate to just eliminate the need for

## Case 1:17-cr-00047-DLC Document 118 Filed 05/19/17 Page 18 of 31

H53Wthi1

document custodians to come in here. That would have been wasteful of the jury's time and distracted them from focusing on the evidentiary issues, and I thank you for your cooperation in that regard.

Thank you.

(Recess pending verdict)

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Counsel, we've had two notes. The first note just identified the foreperson as juror No. 1. That's been marked as Court Exhibit 5.

More recently, we received Court Exhibit 6. It's been shown to counsel, and it reads, "We are requesting 2 DVD/disc player to review the following," and then it lists Government Exhibits 103, 105, 106, 106A and B, 301 through 304 and 801A.

Remind me, counsel, what the list of exhibits are. I take it they're not in paper form.

MR. KOBRE: That's correct, Judge, all except for 801A are voluminous bank records that are contained on disks. 801A is a disk containing the clips of the videos of the defendant's interview with the FBI.

THE COURT: OK. And the "2 DVD/disc player," I don't know what that refers to.

MR. KOBRE: Your Honor, it looks to me like it may be an "A," and they're simply requesting a computer of a player to be able to view the records.

THE COURT: Oh, "We are requesting a DVD/disc player to review the following."

With the exception of 801A, these are bank records.

MR. KOBRE: Correct, your Honor. Correct.

THE COURT: And 801A is the FBI interview of the defendant?

1 MR. KOBRE: Correct, and it contains 18 clips.

THE COURT: OK. Good. We can bring the jury in, theoretically, to discuss that issue. Let's see if we can make a little more progress. Is there a clean laptop that we could load these onto for the jury to review in the jury room?

MR. KOBRE: Your Honor, we're making efforts to get that. There will be one. It's just taking a few minutes to get a hold of it and be sure that it's entirely clean, but we're working on that right now.

If I might just offer one additional way that may help to streamline this process, the parties have an exhibit list that we've shown defense counsel, and I think both parties would propose sending that in. It may help the jury in a number of ways, one of which is that some of these exhibits listed here have some exhibits that are identified on the exhibit list. For example, 301 is a disk that contains bank records from a particular account, but it has subexhibits 301A, B, C, and D, and those are identified, specifically what each of those are, on the exhibit list, so the jury looking to that would be able to pinpoint, it may help them to identify the documents they're looking for.

THE COURT: Do the documents exist in hard-copy form?

MR. KOBRE: They don't right now. Some of these
exhibits would really be truly voluminous, but some of those
exhibits would be manageable.

1 THE COURT: Like what's manageable, ten pages or a 2 hundred pages? 3 MR. KOBRE: Varying between maybe ten or so pages up 4 to hundreds of pages. 5 THE COURT: OK. And is the exhibit list just a list of those that were received in evidence? 6 7 MR. KOBRE: It is. THE COURT: Could I see it? 8 9 MR. DiMASE: Your Honor, yes. I'll hand that up. 10 We are working to resolve one issue, which is that a 11 couple of the exhibit numbers referring to the disks are not 12 contained on this list. We're adding those now. For example, 13 106A and B are listed on this exhibit list, but not 106, which 14 is the disk containing the 106A and B. Obviously we want to 15 help the jury understand where the evidence is located, so we're going to add the main exhibits for 106, 301, 302, 303, 16 and 304, which are all the CDs themselves, and then make clear 17 18 that A, B, and all the subexhibit letters are folders on those disks. 19 20 And just one other observation, the jury has these 21 disks in a folder without any other descriptions of what they 22 are. 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry. You sent a disk in, a physical 24 disk?

MR. DiMASE: Disks were sent in, because they are the

25

so it doesn't make much sense to send in a disk.

1 exhibits in evidence, your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh, I wouldn't send a disk in, but that's OK. You're sending in something they can't do anything with,

MR. DiMASE: And moreover, they may not know what is on that particular disk because they don't have the testimony identifying the disk and they don't have a list of exhibits identifying what's on the disk. They may merely be asking to learn what is on these individual disks at this point, so I think an exhibit list would help.

THE COURT: So the jury's list, then, may be the list of the exhibits they've been given, so they have no idea what you've given them.

MR. DiMASE: Right.

THE COURT: So this note does not necessarily reflect a desire to look at the contents of a disk. It is simply asking, What have you sent in to us, and what do you want us to do with it?

MR. DiMASE: Possibly.

THE COURT: OK. Good. We can help clarify that. My proposal, and thank you for that explanation, is that we bring them out, we read their note to them. We tell them that we did not expect them -- well, I'll restrain myself in that regard: We understand that this list may reflect disks that were sent in to them. We apologize -- I will apologize for sending those

disks in to them. We know they can't look at the contents of a disk without some kind of player to read the disks, so what we're going to do instead is send in an exhibit list so that if there is any particular information that they wish to see, they can make a specific request for that information.

Or maybe I'll just identify, meanwhile, since you're trying to get a complete exhibit list -- well, let me step back here.

I expect what I have here, this exhibit list, is actually the list of exhibits that were received in evidence.

MR. DiMASE: That's correct, your Honor, except for the main disk numbers, which we're adding now.

THE COURT: That's my question. Is it incomplete?

Was a main disk also given a separate number and received into evidence separately?

MR. DiMASE: Yes. To be clear, let's look at GX106A and B. In that example, there was a GX106, which was a disk admitted pursuant to stipulation into evidence, and that same stipulation indicated that A and B were folders on that disk containing particular bank records.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. DiMASE: And that same analysis is true with respect to Exhibits 301 through 304. The main exhibit is the disk that was admitted into evidence. The subexhibits that are listed as A, B, C, and D are folders on that disk.

THE COURT: OK. I think we're just going to bring them in, thank them for their note. I'll read them their note. I'll explain that all of these exhibits that are listed are disks. I will apologize for sending the disks in without any way for them to access the information on them. We did not expect them to be able to work with the disks in the jury room without some way to access the information, then explain to them that all of these exhibits are exhibit numbers for bank records, with one exception, 801A, which is a disk with the FBI interview, and so we'll wait for further requests for any particular information they may wish to have us provide to them.

Any objection?

MR. KOBRE: No.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. GOLDSMITH: Should we also suggest that we're preparing an exhibit list for them to have to guide them?

THE COURT: I think not.

MR. GOLDSMITH: OK.

THE COURT: I think not. They'll know how to ask for bank records if they want to see them. They'll know how to ask for the FBI interview if they want to see it.

Good. Bring in the jury.

MR. DiMASE: Judge, also, the clean laptop is ready. We're going to pick it up and bring it here.

THE COURT: Thank you so much.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

I will want counsel to make sure that defense counsel has an opportunity to examine the laptop to confirm it's clean.

Bring in the jury.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we have two notes The first note, which was marked as Court Exhibit 5, from you. identifies your foreperson.

The second note, received more recently, has been marked as Court Exhibit 6, and it reads as follows:

"We are requesting a DVD/disc player to review the following," and then it lists government exhibit numbers: 103, 105, 106, 106A and B, 301 through 304, and 801A.

I apologize. I didn't know the disks had been sent in to you, and obviously it would be hard for you to read material on a disk without a disk player, so I apologize for that confusion, and thank you for your note.

Let me tell you what are on those disks, and if you want to see what's on those disks, we'll figure out a way to get that information to you. All of these exhibits reflecting the disks reflect bank records, with one exception. 801A is a disk containing the FBI interview of the defendant, essentially clips of the defendant being interviewed by the FBI. OK?

That, I hope, answers the mystery of what's on the disks, and we'll wait for another question from you.

H53Wthi2

want to see the information on the disks, we'll figure out what we can do to make that possible for you. OK?

Thank you so much. You may return to your deliberations.

(Deliberations resumed)

THE COURT: Good. In roughly 10 or 12 minutes you'll be free to go to lunch, but let's wait and see if we get a quick note.

Thanks so much.

(Recess pending verdict)

1 THE COURT: Bring in the jury. 2 (Jury present) 3 Ladies and gentlemen, we have received THE COURT: your verdict sheet. It's been marked as Court Exhibit 7. I'm 4 5 going to read your verdict to you. Please listen carefully. I 6 will then ask each of you whether what I have read is your 7 verdict. "Count One, engaged in a monetary transaction in 8 9 criminally derived property, in excess of \$10,000, between 2009 10 and August 2011. Guilty. 11 "Count Two, conducted money laundering in November of 12 2010. Guilty." 13 Mr. Pichardo, is that your verdict? 14 JUROR: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Rosenberg, is that your verdict? 16 JUROR: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Ms. Stevenson, is that your verdict? 18 JUROR: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Black, is that your verdict? 20 JUROR: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Ms. Morris, is that your verdict? 22 JUROR: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Ms. Nkrumah-Peprah, is that your verdict? 24 JUROR: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Ms. Riloquio, is that your verdict?

1 JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Ms. Thompson, is that your verdict? 2 3 Yes, your Honor. JUROR: 4 THE COURT: Ms. Schiff, is that your verdict? 5 JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Melrose, is that your verdict? 6 7 JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Ms. Peckoo, is that your verdict? 8 9 JUROR: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Ms. Roig, is that your verdict? 11 JUROR: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Counsel, is there any reason why I cannot 13 now excuse this jury? 14 MR. KOBRE: No, your Honor. 15 MR. GOLDSMITH: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm not going to 16 17 comment on your verdict; that's for you, and you have done your 18 duty as jurors by deliberating and returning a verdict, but I do want to extend my thanks for your participation in jury 19 20 service in this courthouse. Obviously you've seen how 21 important it is. It is how our system of justice works. If 22 citizens aren't willing to spend their time, come here, be 23 here, listen carefully to the evidence and deliberate together, 24 trials can't be conducted and tried under the system of justice

that we've adopted in our country, so for that I thank you.

think you've seen how important jury service is. It's a great duty but also a great honor of citizenship in this country, so thank you.

Now, you are released from your obligation not to discuss the case. You may choose to discuss it or choose not to discuss it with whomever you would like, your decision entirely. I have one request. If you choose to discuss the case, feel free to share your own views, but keep what others said to you in the confidence of the jury room a confidence for them to share or not to share. Let them make their own decisions separately whether they want to share their thoughts. It's just a request, but I think it makes a lot of sense probably to all of you.

With that, you are excused. Ms. Rojas will give you instructions in the jury room.

(Jury excused)

THE COURT: Counsel, I'm going to schedule sentence for August 4 unless that date is inconvenient for one party or the other, and that will make defense submissions due July 21, government's response due July 28. Can counsel consult with each other in advance, well in advance, of sentencing so if there are any issues with respect to forfeiture we can get those addressed in a timely fashion so sentence could be complete on August 4. It will be August 4 at 11 a.m.

MR. KOBRE: Yes, Judge.

MR. GOLDSMITH: Your Honor, I'd also like to request 1 an extension of the deadline for Rule 33 motion to four weeks. 2 3 THE COURT: OK. Your posttrial motion, if any, will 4 be due May 31, government's response June 16. 5 MR. GOLDSMITH: Thank you. 6 MR. KOBRE: Your Honor, if I might ask? 7 THE COURT: Yes. MR. KOBRE: And I apologize, because I know the Court 8 9 has already set the schedule. The sentencing date would be 10 difficult for one of the government attorneys to attend. Would 11 it be possible for sentencing to be just the following week? 12 THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Goldsmith. Does 13 August 11 work for you? 14 MR. GOLDSMITH: August 11 will be fine. 15 THE COURT: I'll get you a time on August 11 in a Let me change, then, the dates for sentencing 16 17 submissions. Defense submissions would be due July 28 and the government's submission would be due August 4. 18 19 MR. KOBRE: Thank you, Judge. 20 THE DEPUTY CLERK: August 11 at 10 a.m. for 21 sentencing. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Kobre, anything else we need to do? 23 MR. KOBRE: No, your Honor. 24 Mr. Goldsmith. THE COURT: 25 MR. GOLDSMITH: No, your Honor.

```
H53Wthi2
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
 1
 2
                 (Adjourned)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```